| 1 | BEFORE THE |
|-----|---|
| 2 | ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION |
| 3 | VERIZON NORTH INC.) DOCKET NO. VERIZON SOUTH INC. and) 02-0037 |
| 4 | VOICESTREAM WIRELESS CORPORATION) |
| 5 | Joint Petition of Verizon North) Inc., Verizon South Inc. and) |
| 6 | VoiceStream Wireless Corporation) for Approval pursuant to 47 U.S.C.) |
| 7 | Section 252 (a)(1) and 252(e) for) an Agreement Supplementing Adopted) |
| 8 | Terms of an Interconnection) Agreement.) |
| 9 | Springfield, Illinois |
| L 0 | February 6, 2002 |
| L1 | Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 P.M. |
| L 2 | BEFORE: |
| L 3 | MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge |
| L 4 | APPEARANCES: |
| L 5 | MR. DOUGLAS DZIAK Hunton & Williams |
| L 6 | 1900 K Street, N.W. Suite 1200 |
| L 7 | Washington, D.C. 20006-1109 |
| L 8 | (Appearing on behalf of GTE North Incorporated and GTE South |
| L 9 | Incorporated via teleconference) |
| 20 | vid celeconference, |
| 21 | |
| 22 | SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, #084-001662 |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | (Cont'd) | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| 2 | MR. JAMES WEGING 160 North La Sali | le Street | |
| 3 | Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois | | |
| 4 | | on behalf of the Staff of t | h o |
| 5 | | Commerce Commission) | 116 |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| L 0 | | | |
| L1 | | | |
| L 2 | | | |
| L 3 | | | |
| L 4 | | | |
| L 5 | | | |
| L 6 | | | |
| L 7 | | | |
| L 8 | | | |
| L 9 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |

| 1 | | INI |) E X | | |
|-----|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|
| 2 | WITNESSES | DIRECT | CROSS | REDIRECT | RECROSS |
| 3 | (None) | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| L 0 | | | | | |
| L1 | | | | | |
| L 2 | <u>EXHIBITS</u> | | MARKEI | <u>ADMI</u> | TTED |
| L 3 | Staff 1 | | e-Docke | et 6 | |
| L 4 | | | | | |
| L 5 | | | | | |
| L 6 | | | | | |
| L 7 | | | | | |
| L 8 | | | | | |
| L 9 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |

| Τ | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE JONES: On the record. |
| 3 | I call for hearing Docket 02-0037. This |
| 4 | is the matter of Verizon North Inc. and Verizon |
| 5 | South Inc. and VoiceStream Wireless Corporation, a |
| 6 | joint petition for approval of an agreement which |
| 7 | is styled as one supplementing adopted terms of an |
| 8 | interconnection agreement, pursuant to Section 252 |
| 9 | of the Telecommunications Act. |
| 10 | At this time may we have the appearances |
| 11 | orally for the record, first on behalf of Verizon |
| 12 | North and Verizon South. |
| 13 | MR. DZIAK: Yes. On behalf of Verizon North |
| 14 | Inc. and Verizon South Inc., I am Douglas Dziak |
| 15 | with the law firm of Hunton and Williams. My |
| 16 | business address is 1900 K Street, N.W., |
| 17 | Washington, D.C. 20006, and I would request |
| 18 | permission pursuant to Illinois Commerce Commission |

rules to appear in this matter on behalf of

of the state of Maryland and the District of

Verizon. I am not a member of the bar of Illinois.

However, I am a member in good standing of the bars

19

20

21

22

- 1 Columbia.
- JUDGE JONES: Thank you.
- 3 Mr. Weging.
- 4 MR. WEGING: Yes. This is James Weging,
- 5 W-E-G-I-N-G, 160 North La Salle Street, Suite
- 6 C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601, (312)793-2877,
- 7 appearing on behalf of Commission Staff, and Staff
- 8 has no objection to Mr. Dziak's motion.
- 9 JUDGE JONES: The request of Mr. Dziak to
- 10 participate as an out-of-state attorney is granted.
- 11 MR. DZIAK: Thank you.
- 12 JUDGE JONES: Mr. Weging, does Staff have a
- 13 motion and/or recommendation it would like to make
- 14 at this time?
- MR. WEGING: Yes. Staff has filed and served
- 16 the Verified Statement of Melanie K. Patrick in
- 17 this case. Ms. Patrick recommends approval of the
- 18 underlying agreement, finding nothing
- discriminatory and nothing against the public
- interest. It's subject to the usual post-approval
- 21 requirements that Verizon amend its tariffs to
- indicate the existence of the agreement and that

- 1 Verizon file a verified statement with our Clerk's
- 2 Office so that we can put the agreement on our Web
- 3 site.
- In view of all that, I'm going to
- 5 identify the Verified Statement of Melanie K.
- 6 Patrick as found on the Commission e-Docket as
- 7 Staff Exhibit 1 and move that it be admitted into
- 8 record evidence.
- 9 I note for the record it bears tracking
- number 20372 and was filed on January 28th at 12:07
- 11 p.m.
- 12 JUDGE JONES: Thank you.
- Any objection to any of that, Mr. Dziak?
- MR. DZIAK: None from Verizon, no.
- 15 JUDGE JONES: Let the record show that Staff
- 16 Exhibit Number 1, that being the Verified Statement
- of Ms. Patrick, Melanie K. Patrick, Ph.D., is
- 18 admitted into the evidentiary record as filed
- 19 electronically.
- 20 (Whereupon Staff Exhibit 1 was
- 21 received into evidence.)
- JUDGE JONES: Do the parties have anything

| 1 | further? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. DZIAK: Verizon has nothing. |
| 3 | MR. WEGING: Nothing from Staff. |
| 4 | JUDGE JONES: All right. At this time then |
| 5 | let the record show this hearing is over and the |
| 6 | matter is marked Heard and Taken. |
| 7 | HEARD AND TAKEN |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |